IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.A.ABDUL GAFOOR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

TUESDAY, THE 5TH DECEMBER 2006 / 14TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 32346 of 2006(S)

{O.A.NO.684/2006 OF THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM BENCH}

.....

PETITIONER:

N. SAHODARAN,
H & MI GR.II, 112-A, RAILWAY QUARTERS,
ERNAKULAM SOUTH, KOCHI-682 016.

BY ADV. SMT.K.GIRIJA

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF PERSONNEL OFFICER,
SOUTHERN RAILWAY, HEAD QUARTERS OFFICE,
PARK TOWN P.O., CHENNAI-3.

2. DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL OFFICER,
TRIVANDRUM DIVISION, SOUTHERN RAILWAY.

3. SANTHOSH KUMAR,
H & MI INSPECTOR GRADE III, ALLEPPEY,
TRIVANDRUM DIVISION, SOUTHERN RAILWAY.

BY ADV. SRI.VARGHESE P.THOMAS, SR.SC,RAILWAYS

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.A.ABDUL GAFOOR &
K.R.UDAYABHANU, JJ.

W.P(C).No.32346 of 2006 S

Dated this the 5th day of December, 2006.

JUDGMENT

Abdul Gafoor, J.

The petitioner attempted to assail the transfer from Ernakulam to Alappuzha. That did not succeed before the Central Administrative Tribunal. Therefore, this writ petition.

2. The fact frame of the case reveals that the petitioner had been in Ernakulam since 1999 in the particular post. In such circumstances, a transfer to the nearest station cannot be stated to be arbitrary to invite interference from this court. A mere allegation that any person, who has longer standing, has been allowed to continue is not a reason to avoid the transfer of the petitioner. Therefore, we

find no reason to interfere with the judgment of the Central Administrative Tribunal.

Writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(K.A.ABDUL GAFOOR)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K.R.UDAYABHANU)
JUDGE

sk/

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge

K.A.ABDUL GAFOOR &
K.R.UDAYABHANU, JJ.

W.P(C).No.32346 of 2006 S

JUDGMENT

5th December, 2006.